

REMARKS

By this Amendment claim 1 has been amended to better set forth the recited elements and to define the cylindrical part as being static, fixed and immovably mounted relative to the motor, and claims 2-10 have been amended for improved presentation. Entry is requested.

A supplemental page 9 for this application containing an abstract of the disclosure is submitted herewith.

In the outstanding Office Action the examiner has apparently rejected claims 1-10 as being unpatentable over Jensen in view of Oldakowski (the Office Action specifically identifies claims 1, 5-8 and 10 as being rejected, but refers to claims 2-4 and 9 as if rejected as well). In his Response to Arguments, the examiner indicates that applicant's previous arguments in traversal of this same rejection were ineffective because claim 1 did not specifically state that the cylindrical part is static, fixed and immovable, as argued.

By this Amendment claim 1 has been amended to include this phrase, which is clearly supported by the description. The applicant's previous arguments are herein repeated.

As such, it is asserted that the examiner's prior art rejection should be withdrawn and the application allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

By:



Richard H. Tushin

Registration No. 27,297

Franklin Square, Third Floor West
1300 I Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3353

(202) 906-8680